

**SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON  
COUNTY OF**

In re:

and

Child(ren),

Petitioner(s),

Respondent(s).

**NO.**

**TEMPORARY ORDER RE:  
RELOCATION OF CHILDREN  
(TMORELO)**

**I. BASIS**

- ☐ A motion for a temporary order ☐ restraining relocation of children ☐ ordering the return of the children was filed pursuant to RCW 26.09.510(1).
- ☐ A motion for a temporary order authorizing the intended relocation of the child pending final hearing was filed pursuant to RCW 26.09.510(2).

**II. FINDINGS**

2.1 Notice of intended relocation of the children.

☐ The required notice of intended relocation of the children was provided in a timely manner.

☐ The required notice of intended relocation of the children was not provided in a timely manner and the nonrelocating party ☐ was ☐ was not substantially prejudiced.

☐ The relocating party did not comply with the notice requirements. Circumstances warrant issuance of an order authorizing the relocation and issuing a revised parenting plan/residential schedule.

2.2 The relocation of the children:

☐ has not occurred.

☐ has occurred without agreement of the parties or a court order.

2.3 After examining the evidence presented at the hearing for temporary orders in which the parties had adequate opportunity to prepare and be heard:

☐ there is a likelihood that on final hearing the court will not approve the intended relocation of the children.

☐ no circumstances exist sufficient to warrant a relocation of the children prior to a final determination at trial.

☐ there is a likelihood that on final hearing the court will approve the intended relocation of the children.

2.4 ☐ Further, the court finds that the nonmoving party is absent and a) is on active duty as a National Guard member or Reservist residing in Washington, or b) is a dependent of a National Guard member or Reservist residing in Washington on active duty. Despite the service member's or dependent's absence, failure to enter the temporary orders below would result in manifest injustice to the other interested parties.

2.5 Other:

### III. ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

☐ The motion for temporary order restraining relocation of the children is ☐ granted ☐ denied.

☐ The motion for temporary order ordering the return of the children is ☐ granted ☐ denied.

☐ The motion for temporary order permitting relocation of the children is ☐ granted ☐ denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

☐ The relocating party is restrained from moving the children pending the final hearing.

☐ The relocating party is ordered to return the children.

☐ The relocating party is permitted to change the children's principal residence.

☐ The custody decree/parenting plan/residential schedule signed by the court and entered on \_\_\_\_\_ [Date] shall remain in effect.

☐ The parties shall comply with the temporary parenting plan/residential schedule signed by the court on \_\_\_\_\_ [Date].

☐ Other:

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE/COMMISSIONER

Presented by:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print or Type Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date